

I cannot state too strongly my objection to Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the presidential election. This is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

The American public owns the broadcast airwaves, not Sinclair Broadcasting--which uses those airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.